Triodos 🕲 Investment Management

AETNA INC.

MEE	TING DATE	Fri, 18 May 2018 9:30 am	TYPE	AGM	ISSUE DATE	Wed, 09	9 May 2018		
MEETING LOCATION		Park Hyatt New York, 153 West 57th Street, New York, NY 10019							
CURRENT INDICES		S&P500							
SECTOR		Hospital and medical service plans							
		PRO	POSALS				ADVICE		
1a		Fernando Aguirre on-Executive Director.					For		
1b	Elect Director Mark T. Bertolini						Oppose		
	Chairman and CEO. Combined roles at the head of the Company. There should be a clear division of responsibilities at the head of the Company between the running of the board and the executive responsibility for the running of the Company's business. No one individual should have unfettered powers of decision. Combining the two roles in one person represents a concentration of power that is potentially detrimental to board balance, effective debate, and board appraisal.								
1c	Elect Director Frank M. Clark						Oppose		
		ecutive Director. Not considered independent owing to a tenure of over nine years. There is ent independent representation on the Board.							
1d	Elect Director Molly J. Coye						Oppose		
	Non-Executive Director. Not considered independent owing to a tenure of over nine years. There is insufficient independent representation on the Board.								
1e		Roger N. Farah	oard.				Oppose		
	Non-Executive Director. Not considered independent owing to a tenure of over nine years. There is insufficient independent representation on the Board. He is chair of the Remuneration committee which is not fully independent which Triodos does not support.								
1f	Elect Director Jeffrey E. Garten						Oppose		
	Non-Executive	utive Director. Not considered independent owing to a tenure of over nine years. There is t independent representation on the Board.					oppoor		
1g	Non-Executive	Elect Director Ellen M. Hancock Non-Executive Director. Not considered independent owing to a tenure of over nine years. There is nsufficient independent representation on the Board.							
1h	Non-Executive	Richard J. Harrington Director. Not considered independent representation on the B	•	re of ov	ver nine years.	There is	Oppose		
	He is chair of th	ne Audit committee which is not f	ully independent which	n Triodo	os does not sup	port.			
1i	Lead Independe	ector Edward J. Ludwig pendent Director. Not considered independent owing to a tenure of over nine years. There ent independent representation on the Board.				rs. There	Oppose		
1j	Elect Director Olympia J. Snowe						Oppose		
	Non-Executive Director. Not considered independent as she serves on the Board of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc., which holds 5.05% of the Company's common stock. There is insufficient independent representation on the Board.								
2	Appoint the Auditors						Oppose		
	KPMG propose 4.17% on a thre about the indep	ed. Non-audit fees represented 4 ee-year aggregate basis. This lev pendence of the statutory audito There are concerns that failure	vel of non-audit fees do or. The current audito	bes not or has	raise serious o been in place	concerns for more			

independence of the auditor.

3 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The Company has submitted a proposal for shareholder ratification of its executive compensation policy and practices. The voting outcome for this resolution reflects the balance of opinion on the adequacy of disclosure, the balance of performance and reward and the terms of executive employment.

For fiscal 2017, annual cash awards were not considered to be excessive. The CEO's actual bonus for fiscal 2017 was 2,079,600 USD, representing 173% of his base salary (200% maximum is considered as acceptable practice). Best practice states that all executives should hold at least six times base salary in stocks, currently executives are required to hold three times base salary. Executive compensation is not aligned with peer groups and with companies of a similar market capitalisation.

The compensation rating is: CDB.

Triodos opposes this resolution.

4A Shareholder Resolution: Report on Lobbying Payments and Policy

Proposed by: Daughters of Charity, Inc. and Sisters of the Order of St. Dominic of Grand Rapids The Proponents request that the Company prepare a report; updated annually; disclosing: i.) Company policy and procedures governing lobbying; both direct and indirect; and grassroots lobbying communications; ii.) payments by the Company used for (a) direct or indirect lobbying or (b) grassroots lobbying communications; in each case including the amount of the payment and the recipient; iii.) The company's membership in and payments to any tax-exempt organization that writes and endorses model legislation. iv.) Description of the decision-making process and oversight by management and the Board for making payments described in section ii and iii above.

Proponent's Supporting Argument: The Company reports spending USD 33,252,662 million from 2010-2018 on state lobbying; yet provides no information by state. Also; the Proponents argue that the Company discloses its trade association dues and amounts of its dues used for lobbying on its website; but this fails to capture all payments. This proposal was presented to shareholders at the 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014 and 2012 annual shareholder meetings. At those meetings 73.52%, 74.53%, 70.99%, 72.84% and 89.98% of the votes cast at the respective meetings were voted against the proposal, reflecting shareholders' agreement that the current disclosure practices meet or exceed their expectations.

Board's Opposing Argument: The Board recommends shareholders oppose and argues that the Company publishes annually its Political Contributions and Related Activity Report and complies fully with all state and federal laws concerning the disclosure of its political and lobbying activity. The Board argues that the Company was ranked in the first tier of the 2017 Center for Political Accountability CPA-Zicklin Index for Corporate Political Accountability and Disclosure ahead of many of its managed healthcare competitors. Also, the Board believes that the information currently available to shareholders is easily accessible and understandable and; coupled with the oversight of the Company's political activities by the Board, is in the best interest of the Company.

PIRC Analysis: It is considered that the transparency and completeness of the Company's reporting on lobbying could be improved. The amount of shareholder funds involved appears to be sufficiently significant to warrant greater disclosure to shareholders. Moreover, it is to the benefit of the Company and its shareholders to be open about lobbying activities and so avoid any suspicion (and the damage that may cause to the Company's reputation) that the Company may be using shareholders' funds in an inappropriate way to gain undue influence. The request for a report is considered reasonable. Triodos supports this resolution.

For

4B Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings

Proposed by: John Chevedden.

The Proponent requests the Board take the steps necessary to amend the Company's bylaws and each appropriate governing document to give holders in the aggregate of 10% of the Company's outstanding common stock the power to call a special shareowner meeting.

Supporting Argument: The Proponent argues that special meetings allow shareowners to vote on important matters, such as electing new directors that can arise between annual meetings. The Proponent believes that an improved shareholder ability to call a special meeting would put shareholders in a better position to ask for a better assignment of director, as five directors have a 14 to 23 years long-tenure.

Opposing Argument: The Board recommends shareholders oppose and argues that the Company's bylaws already provide shareholders with the right to call a special meeting (shareholders holding in the aggregate 25% or more of the Company's outstanding stock can call a special meeting). The Board argues that the Company's existing special meeting rights reflect market standards and are complemented by the Company's other robust governance practices that empower shareholders. Also, the Board argues that adoption of the proposal would allow a relatively small group of shareholders to call a meeting on a matter that could be of interest only to that smaller group of investors and of limited or no concern to the large majority of shareholders.

PIRC Analysis: The right to call a special shareholder meeting provides shareholders with a way of communicating with the Board and debating and voting on issues with the rest of shareholders; which in itself enhances shareholders' rights. The 10% threshold recommended by the Proponent is considered acceptable.

Triodos supports this resolution.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR RESOLUTIONS

Proposal 3 - Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

Disclosure: C- Annual bonus was granted based upon the achievement of financial targets (Adjusted earnings per share, Adjusted Revenue and Adjusted SG&A ratio) and Constituent Index Performance (30%). Long-Term Equity Incentives are granted in the form of Performance-based stock units, Time-vested stock units, and Stock appreciation rights. Three-years adjusted earnings per share is the sole performance metric used to award the performance shares. The Company uses adjusted metrics, which is against best practice as it does not permit an assessment on the challenging nature of performance metrics. Peer groups are disclosed. However, the Company failed to provide the fees it paid to the Compensation Consultants. The disclosure of these fees is encouraged in the interests of greater transparency.

Balance: D- For fiscal 2017, annual cash awards were not considered to be excessive. The CEO's actual bonus for fiscal 2017 was 2,079,600 USD, representing 173% of his base salary (200% maximum is considered as acceptable practice). Best practice states that all executives should hold at least six times base salary in stocks, currently executives are required to hold three times base salary. Executive compensation is not aligned with peer groups and with companies of a similar market capitalisation.

Contract: B- The company has a strong compensation claw back policy in place that allows for the recoupment of payment if in the event that misconduct by an executive contributes to a restatement of the financial results. The Company also incorporates a double-trigger, that vests outstanding long-term incentive awards in the event of a change in control followed by a termination. Good reason is adequately defined.

For Private Circulation only

© Copyright 2018 PIRC Ltd

Researcher: Folarin Akinsola Email: pircresearch@pirc.co.uk

Information is believed to be correct but cannot be guaranteed. Opinions and recommendations constitute our judgement as of this date and are subject to change without notice. The document is not intended as an offer, solicitation or advice to buy or sell securities. Clients of Pensions & Investment Research Consultants Ltd may have a position or engage in transaction in any of the securities mentioned.



Pensions & Investment Research Consultants Limited 8th Floor, Suite 8.02, Exchange Tower 2 Harbour Exchange Square E14 9GE

> Tel: 020 7247 2323 Fax: 020 7247 2457 http://www.pirc.co.uk

Regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority