

GERRESHEIMER GROUP

Triodos Bespoke Service

MEETING DATE	Thu, 06 Jun 2019 10:00 am	TYPE AGM ISSUE DATE	Tue, 28 May 2019
MEETING LOCATION	Reinterrasse, Joseph-Beuys-Ufer 33, Germany	40479, Duesseldorf,	
CURRENT INDICES	PIRC Global		
SECTOR	Medical Supplies		

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Gerresheimer AG is a German manufacturer of primary packaging products for medication and drug delivery devices made of special-purpose glass and plastics.

MEETING SPECIFIC INFORMATION

No quorum required for ordinary resolutions at this meeting. Resolutions under ordinary business are approved by simple majority of the votes cast.

	PROPOSALS	CLIENT ADVICE	PIRC ADVICE
1	Receive Financial Statements and Statutory Reports for Fiscal 2018 Non-voting agenda item.	Non-Voting	Non-Voting
2	Approve the Dividend The Board proposes a dividend of EUR 1.15 per share. The dividend is covered by earnings. Triodos supports this resolution.	For	For
3	Approve Discharge of Management Board for Fiscal 2018 Standard proposal. No serious governance concerns have been identified. Triodos supports this resolution.	For	For
4	Approve Discharge of Supervisory Board for Fiscal 2018 Standard proposal. No serious governance concerns have been identified. Triodos supports this resolution.	For	For
5	Appoint the Auditors Deloitte proposed. Non-audit fees represented 10.82% of audit fees during the year under review and 5.33% on a three-year aggregate basis. This level of non-audit fees does not raise serious concerns about the independence of the statutory auditor. The current auditor has been in place for more than seven years. There are concerns that failure to regularly rotate the audit firm can compromise the independence of the auditor.	Oppose	Abstain
6	Approve Fees Payable to the Board of Directors It is proposed to increase the amount payable to the Board of Directors by more than 10% on annual basis. Triodos supports this resolution. PIRC issue: The increase is considered material and exceeds guidelines, while the	For	Oppose
7	Company has provided justification, the increase is still deemed excessive. Approve Creation of EUR 6.3 Million Pool of Capital with Partial Exclusion of	Oppose	Oppose
	Preemptive Rights It is proposed to create a pool of conditional capital with the option to exclude shareholders pre-emptive rights. The authority requested is for 20% of the issued share capital. Exceeds guidelines.		

8 Issue Bonds For For

It is proposed to issue convertible bonds for up to 20% of the share capital. Pre-emptive rights for conversion will be waived for up to 10% of the share capital. Meets guidelines.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

BOARD AND OTHER GOVERNANCE INFORMATION	AFTER MEETING
Size of Board	12
Average Disclosed Age of Directors	55
Average Tenure of All Directors	5.75
Number of Independent Directors	3
Board Independence Level	25.0%
Directors' Aggregated Voting Rights	<0.1%
Annual Election of Directors	No
Separate Chair and CEO	Yes
The Company Has a Senior Independent Director	No
No Executive is On the Remuneration Committee	Yes
No Executive is On the Nomination Committee	Yes
No Executive is On the Audit Committee	Yes
The Company Maintains a Corporate Jet	n/d
There is a Controlling Shareholder	No

BOARD AND COMMITTEE COMPOSITION (post-Meeting)

BOARD CHANGES

No changes during the year under review.

INDEPENDENT BY									
DIRECTOR	GENDER	PIRC	COMPANY	BOARD	AC	RC	NC	SC	TENURE
Axel Herberg	M	No	Yes	Ch	М	С	С	-	4
Francesco Grioli	M	No	No	VCh	М	М	-	-	8
Andrea Abt	F	Yes	Yes	NED	М	-	-	-	4
Heike Arndt	F	No	No	Emp	-	-	-	-	2
Karin Dorrepaal	F	Yes	Yes	NED	-	-	-	-	7
Franz Hartinger	M	No	No	Emp	-	-	-	-	2
Peter Noé	M	Yes	Yes	NED	-	-	М	-	7
Markus Rocholz	M	No	No	Emp	М	М	-	-	7
Paul Schilling	M	No	No	Emp	-	-	-	-	2
Katja Schnitzler	F	No	No	Emp	М	-	-	-	3
Theodor Stuth	M	No	Yes	NED	С	-	-	-	11
Udo J. Vetter	M	No	Yes	NED	-	М	М	-	12
Number of Meetings				8	4	12	0		
Number of NED only Meetings				n/d					

PIRC assesses a non-executive director's independence according to PIRC's shareholder guidelines. Comments represent PIRC's analysis based on information in the report and accounts. AC = Audit Committee, RC = Remuneration Committee, RC = Remuneration, RC = Rem

BOARD OF DIRECTORS (post-Meeting)

AXEL HERBERG				CHAIR	R (NON EXECUTIVE)
AGE OTHER POSITION	[NED]; Vetter Ph	arma [NED]; ex-	-Gerresheimer AG	COMMITTEES a Group [NED]; Lisa [CEO]; ex-Mckinsey & any Holding GmbH [C	& Company [Exec];
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N		INDEPENDEN	T BY COMPANY	Υ
COMMENT				er CEO of Gerreshimover his aggregate ti	
FRANCESCO GRIOLI				VICE CHAIR	R (NON EXECUTIVE)
AGE OTHER POSITION		Fielsen GmbH	[NED]; ex-BASF S	COMMITTEES OH [VCh]; Villeroy & EE [NED]; ex-Fejo Gr	2
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC COMMENT	N Not considered t	o be independe		T BY COMPANY an employee repres	N entative.

ANDREA ABT				NON-EXE	CUTIVE DIRECTOR
AGE	59	TENURE	4 Years	COMMITTEES	Α
OTHER POSITION		roup plc [NED]; frastructure & Citie		IG plc [NED]; ex-Bra	ammer plc [NED]
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	Υ		INDEPENDEN	T BY COMPANY	Υ
HEIKE ARNDT				EMPLOYEE	REPRESENTATIV
AGE OTHER POSITION	56 DMT GmbH &	TENURE Co. KG, Germany	2 Years [NED]; RAG Verk	COMMITTEES auf GmbH [NED]	None
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N	•	INDEPENDEN	T BY COMPANY	N
COMMENT	Not considered	d to be independer		s an employee represe	entative.
KARIN DORREPAAL				NON-EXE	CUTIVE DIRECTO
AGE	58	TENURE	7 Years	COMMITTEES	None
OTHER POSITION	[NED]; Paion A	AG [VCh]; Triton Be	teiligungsberatun	Research BV [NED] g GmbH [NED]; ex-Cr [NED]; ex-Schering /	yo Save Group N\
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	Y			T BY COMPANY	Y
COMMENT	There are con-	cerns over her agg			
FRANZ HARTINGER				EMPLOYEE	REPRESENTATIV
AGE	59	TENURE	2 Years	COMMITTEES	None
OTHER POSITION					
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N		INDEPENDEN	T BY COMPANY	N
COMMENT	Not considered	d to be independer	nt as the director is	s an employee represe	entative.
PETER NOÉ				NON-EXE	CUTIVE DIRECTO
AGE OTHER POSITION	62 BlackRock Pri [Exec]	TENURE vate Equity Partne	7 Years ers AG [NED]; ex-0	COMMITTEES CDRB Holding AG [CI	N n]; ex-Hochtief AC
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	Υ		INDEPENDEN	T BY COMPANY	Υ
COMMENT	Inc., which in		ficant stake of the	Partners AG, a subsice company's issued s	
MARKUS ROCHOLZ				EMPLOYEE	REPRESENTATIV
AGE OTHER POSITION	49 Gerresheimer	TENURE Tettau [NED]	7 Years	COMMITTEES	A,R
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N		INDEPENDEN	T BY COMPANY	N
COMMENT	Not considered	d to be independer	nt as the director is	s an employee represe	entative.
PAUL SCHILLING				EMPLOYEE	REPRESENTATIV
AGE OTHER POSITION	49	TENURE	2 Years	COMMITTEES	None
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N		INDEPENDEN	T BY COMPANY	N
COMMENT		d to be independer		s an employee represe	

KATJA SCHNITZLER				EMPLOYEE	REPRESENTATIVE
AGE OTHER POSITION	38	TENURE	3 Years	COMMITTEES	A
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N		INDEPENDENT	F BY COMPANY	N
COMMENT	Not considered to	o be independer	nt as the director is	an employee repres	entative.
THEODOR STUTH				NON-EXE	CUTIVE DIRECTOR
AGE OTHER POSITION	66 Linet Group SE [[NED]; ex-Deloitt	• •	11 Years Holding GmbH [N	COMMITTEES ED]; Wickeder Profile	A* e Walzwerk GmbH
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N		INDEPENDENT	F BY COMPANY	Υ
COMMENT				ner partner of Deloit en a sufficient cool-c	
UDO J. VETTER				NON-EXE	CUTIVE DIRECTOR
AGE OTHER POSITION	[NED]; OncoBeta Ltd. [Ch]; UV-Ca [Ch]; ex-Atoll Gn	a GmbH [NED]; ap GmbH & Co.I nbH [Ch]; ex-Ec	OncoBeta Internat KG [Partner]; Vette	COMMITTEES mbH & Co. KG [Partr tional GmbH [NED]; r Pharma Fertigungs nd Pharma Pte. Ltd. na Pte. Ltd. [Ch]	Paschal India Pvt. GmbH & Co. KG
INDEPENDENT BY PIRC	N		INDEPENDENT	F BY COMPANY	Υ
COMMENT			he has been on the egate time commitm	e Board for over nine nents.	e years. There are

BOARD COMPOSITION

BOARD COMPOSITION FOLLOWING THE AGM

	Number	% of Board
Executive Director	0	0.0
Independent NEDs	3	25.0
Connected NEDs	4	33.33
Other	5	41.67

BOARD COMMITTEES FOLLOWING THE AGM

	Number of Members	% Women	% Independent by PIRC	% Independent by Company
Whole Board	12	33.33	25.0	50.0
Audit	6	33.33	16.67	50.0
Remuneration	4	0.0	0.0	50.0
Nomination	3	0.0	33.33	100.0

AUDIT

Audit FirmDeloitteDate Appointed2009-09-04Tenure9 Years

AUDITOR REMUNERATION - EURm	2018 Deloitte	2017 Deloitte	2016 Deloitte
Statutory audit fee	0.62	0.57	0.59
Acceptable non-audit work undertaken by the auditors			
Audit-related, mandatory regulatory	0.0	0.0	0.01
Tax compliance	0.0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal Authorised	0.0	0.0	0.01
Unacceptable non-audit work undertaken by the auditors			
Other tax services	0.0	0.0	0.01
Acquisition-related	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other services	0.07	0.01	0.01
Total non-audit fees	0.07	0.01	0.02
Company percentage of non-audit fees versus audit fees	10.82	1.92	2.88
Total Company three year percentage of unacceptable non-audit fees versus audit fees	5.0		

SHARE CAPITAL AND SHAREHOLDER RELATIONS

DISCLOSED ORDINARY VOTING RIGHTS

	PERCENTAGE
NN Group N.V.	5.2
Stichting Pensioenfonds	5.1
BNP Paribas Investment Partners S.A.	5.07

BEST PRACTICE PRINCIPLES

BES	T PRACTICE PRINCIPLE AND CRITERIA	ANALYSIS
A.	Division of responsibilities at the head of the company	
1.	There is a separate chairman and chief executive	Yes
2.	A Senior Independent Director has been appointed	No
3.	The Company reports on and discusses gender diversity on the Board	No
4.	If applicable, the Company is in line with local legal requirements on gender diversity on the Board,	Yes
	or has set targets for compliance	
В.	Independence on the board and election of directors	
5.	Sufficient biographical information on all directors is disclosed	Yes
6.	At least half of the board comprises non-executive directors	Yes
7.	At least half of the board is independent by PIRC guidelines	No
8.	Individual director's attendance at board and committee meetings is disclosed	No
9.	The Board comprises nomination, audit and remuneration committees	Yes
10.	Nomination committee is composed fully of independent directors, excluding employee representatives	No
11.	Remuneration committee is composed fully of independent directors, excluding employee representatives	No
12.	No alternate director has been appointed	Yes
13.	All directors face election every year, excluding employee representatives	No
14.	No members from any of the committees are considered to be connected with significant	Yes
14.	shareholders	165
C.	Independent and transparent appointments and review process	
15.	Recruitment practices for new directors are transparent	n/a
16.	There is evidence that a process for succession planning exists and is regularly reviewed	Yes
17.	No directors on the board hold family ties	Yes
18.	There is evidence that training needs for the board are regularly reviewed and acted upon	Yes
D.	Auditors' independence	103
19.	Audit committee is composed fully of independent directors	No
20.	Shareholders annually approve the appointment of the auditor	Yes
21.	No director has had a significant connection with the auditors	No
22.	Level of non-audit fees does not raise independence concerns	Yes
23.	Auditor's date of appointment has been disclosed by the Company	Yes
24.	Audit firm is subject to rotation at least every five years	No
E.	Disclosure of the auditors' remuneration	
25.	An adequate break-down between non-audit fees and audit fees has been provided	Yes
26.	An adequate break-down of the nature of non-audit fees is provided	No
F.	Audit committee's accountability	
27.	Audit committee's policy on awarding non-audit work is described	No
28.	The audit committee reviews "whistleblowing" arrangements	Yes
G.	Other Best Practice Criteria	
29.	An internal audit function exists or an explanation for its absence is provided	Yes
30.	The Company has a Data Protection Officer or an equivalent position	Yes
31.	Company has disclosed a cybersecurity policy or a compliance management system	Yes
32.	Declared dividend or policy is put to the vote	Yes
33.	Each ordinary share has equal voting rights	Yes
34.	There is no controlling shareholder	Yes
35.	No representatives of the controlling shareholder sit on the board	Yes
36.	No persons have the right to designate directors to the board	Yes
37.	The threshold of controlling shareholding is not achieved due to multiple voting rights	Yes
38.	Shareholders have the right to approve all designated directors	Yes
39.	The Company has disclosed a policy regarding political donations	No
40.	There is disclosure on political donations made during the year under review	No
41.	No political donations were made by the company during the year under review	n/d
T1.		

BEST PRACTICE PRINCIPLES: GLOBAL REMUNERATION

	PRACTICE PRINCIPLE AND CRITERIA: GLOBAL REMUNERATION	ANALYSI
1.	Policy - Balance	
B.1	The company does not use adjusted metrics for its variable remuneration.	No
B.2	A fully independent remuneration committee exists comprising at least three members.	No
B.3	Company consults employees when setting executive pay?	No
B.4	No payout possible unless at least two performance conditions achieved?	Yes
B.5	Performance period is five years or more under the LTIP.	No
3.6	If performance period is less than four years, there is an additional holding period.	No
3.7	Maximum potential aggregate variable pay is capped at 200% of the fixed salary per year.	Yes
B.8	There is a deferral period for the Annual Bonus.	Yes
3.9	Variable remuneration is adequately linked to non-financial performance measures.	No
3.10	Vesting scales are sufficiently broad and geared towards better performance.	n/d
3.11	There are malus or clawback provisions in place under the long-term remuneration component.	No
3.12	Severance payments do not include variable remuneration.	Yes
B.13	Equity is not subject to pro-rata vesting upon termination.	Yes
2.	Policy - Disclosure	
D.1	Pay policy aims are fully explained in terms of the Company's objectives?	Yes
0.2	Peer groups used for the purpose of pay comparison are fully disclosed.	No
D.3	The company does not use adjusted performance metrics.	n/d
D.4	Maximum potential awards under the annual bonus are disclosed.	Yes
D.5	Maximum potential awards for long term incentive schemes disclosed.	Yes
D.6	Performance targets are fully disclosed and quantified for annual bonus.	No
D.7	Performance targets are fully disclosed and quantified for long-term incentives.	No
3.	Policy - Implementation	
l.1	The company has disclosed any of the changes into the remuneration policy and these have been duly justified?	Yes
1.2	Fixed and variable components of executive remuneration for the year under review are fully disclosed.	Yes
.3	Awards made under all schemes during the year are not excessive?	Yes
.4	Share incentive awards are fully disclosed with award dates and prices.	Yes
1.5	Prospective fees and salaries have been disclosed	Yes
.6	No discretionary payments have been granted during the year.	Yes
.7	Value of vested shares disclosed.	No
S.	Summary	
S.1	Company disclosure does not raise serious concerns.	Yes
S.2	There are no serious concerns about policy excessiveness.	Yes
S.3	Implementation has been consistent with the policy.	Yes

PIRC GLOBAL REMUNERATION RATING:

Balance C Disclosure D Implementation B

For Private Circulation only

© Copyright 2019 PIRC Ltd

Researcher: Adam Garside Email: pircresearcheu@pirc.co.uk

Information is believed to be correct but cannot be guaranteed. Opinions and recommendations constitute our judgement as of this date and are subject to change without notice. The document is not intended as an offer, solicitation or advice to buy or sell securities. Clients of Pensions & Investment Research Consultants Ltd may have a position or engage in transaction in any of the securities mentioned.



Pensions & Investment Research Consultants Limited 8th Floor, Suite 8.02, Exchange Tower 2 Harbour Exchange Square E14 9GE

> Tel: 020 7247 2323 Fax: 020 7247 2457 http://www.pirc.co.uk

Regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority